

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

<p>M.D., Individually; A.W., Individually; J.P.S., As Parent and Next Friend of D.S., Minor Child; and D.S., Individually;</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiffs,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p>DANNETTE SMITH, Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Health and Human Services, Individually; TREVOR SPIEGEL, Individually; and DAN SCARBOROUGH, Individually;</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">4:21CV3315</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AMENDED FINAL PROGRESSION ORDER</p>
<p>J.P., as Next Friend of A.S.W.; and A.S.W., Individually;</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiffs,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vs.</p> <p>STATE OF NEBRASKA, The; YOUTH REHABILITATION AND TREATMENT CENTER AT GENEVA, The; NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES OF THE STATE OF NEBRASKA, The; DANNETTE SMITH, Chief Executive Officer of the Department of Health and Human Services, Individually; TREVOR SPIEGEL, Individually; MARK LABOUCHARDIERE, Individually; and DAN SCARBOROUGH, Individually;</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">4:22CV3095</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AMENDED FINAL PROGRESSION ORDER</p>

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendants' Unopposed Motions to Extend Case Progression Order. ([Filing No. 46.](#))([Filing No. 66.](#)) The motions are granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the provisions of the Court's previous final progression order remain in effect, and in addition to those provisions, case progression shall be amended as follows:

- 1) The trial and pretrial conference will not be set at this time. The status conference presently scheduled for April 2, 2024 is canceled. A status conference to discuss **case progression, the parties' interest in settlement, and the trial and pretrial conference settings** will be held with the undersigned magistrate judge by telephone on **June 27, 2024 at 2:00 p.m.** Counsel shall use the conferencing instructions assigned to this case to participate in the conference.

- 2) The deadline for completing written discovery under Rules 33, 34, 36 and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is **January 10, 2024**. Motions to compel written discovery under Rules 33, 34, 36 and 45 must be filed by **January 24, 2024**.

Note: A motion to compel, to quash, or for a disputed protective order shall not be filed without first contacting the chambers of the undersigned magistrate judge to set a conference for discussing the parties' dispute.

- 3) The deadlines for identifying expert witnesses expected to testify at the trial, (both retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(B\)](#)), and non-retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(C\)](#)), are:

For the plaintiff(s):	March 14, 2024
For the defendant(s):	May 1, 2024

- 4) The deadlines for complete expert disclosures¹ for all experts expected to testify at trial, (both retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(B\)](#)), and non-retained experts, ([Fed. R. Civ. P. 26\(a\)\(2\)\(C\)](#)), are:

For the plaintiff(s):	April 15, 2024
For the defendant(s):	June 3, 2024

- 5) The deposition deadline, including but not limited to depositions for oral testimony only under Rule 45, is **July 1, 2024**.
- a. The maximum number of depositions that may be taken by the plaintiffs as a group and the defendants as a group is 15.
- b. Depositions will be limited by Rule 30(d)(1).
- 6) The deadline for filing motions to dismiss and motions for summary judgment is **July 30, 2024**.
- 7) The deadline for filing motions to exclude testimony on *Daubert* and related grounds is **July 30, 2024**.
- 8) The parties shall comply with all other stipulations and agreements recited in their Rule 26(f) planning report that are not inconsistent with this order.
- 9) All requests for changes of deadlines or settings established herein shall be directed to the undersigned magistrate judge. Such requests will not be considered absent a showing of due diligence in the timely progression of this case and the recent development of circumstances, unanticipated prior to the filing of the motion,

¹ While treating medical and mental health care providers are generally not considered "specially retained experts," not all their opinions relate to the care and treatment of a patient. Their opinion testimony is limited to what is stated within their treatment documentation. As to each such expert, any opinions which are not stated within that expert's treatment records and reports must be separately and timely disclosed.

which require that additional time be allowed.

Dated this 20th day of September, 2023.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Susan M. Bazis
United States Magistrate Judge